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The Orthopaedic Sports Medicine Fellowship Match was first established in 2008 as a joint-sponsored venture between the American Orthopaedic Society for Sports Medicine and the Arthroscopy Association of North America to pair applicants with participating training programs.\(^1\) Operated under the San Francisco Match,\(^2\) the current fellowship match process was adopted to systematically coordinate training appointments and eliminate the role of “exploding offers,” which are pressured early decisions predicated on immediate acceptance. Other advantages of this system include its operation through a central application service to avoid redundancy of submitted paperwork, as well as to create greater awareness and to publicize training options and standardization of the match timeline.\(^3\)

In its current state, the orthopedic sports medicine match represents 96 programs with 230 positions, accounting for approximately 97% of training programs and fellowship positions.\(^1\) While unaccredited options remain available through the Match, many programs have migrated towards American Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) accreditation because of an increased focus on objective learning metrics during fellowship and the requirement for Subspecialty Certification in Orthopaedic Sports Medicine through the American Board of Orthopaedic Surgery.\(^3\) However, other programs have also eschewed the increasing constraints and administrative resources associated with ACGME accreditation, particularly among fellowships based at community-based hospitals or private practices that lack formal affiliation with academic institutions or residency training programs.

Along with a greater understanding of the historical background of the match process, fellowship applicants must also appreciate the relative merits of fellowship training. More than 90% of orthopedic surgery residents now pursue further subspecialty fellowship training, with some individuals opting for 2 additional fellowship opportunities.\(^4\) As a so-called “nontraditional applicant,” I represent a different demographic, returning to fellowship after years of clinical practice while serving in the military. Individual preferences notwithstanding, I wanted to take the opportunity to emphasize some important considerations in deliberating between different fellowship programs.

**Geography.** Your eventual desired practice location may play a role in determining fellowship location or, at least, region of the country. Additionally, this can be an important factor in family happiness. In competitive markets, such as the Northeast or the West Coast, you may make inroads and establish professional connections that result in potential job opportunities. Conversely, other programs may...
adopt anticompetitive measures to limit local practice options.

**Training setting.** Despite the trending consolidation of fellowship training programs in affiliated university and hospital-based teaching systems, many community-based programs and private-practice models thrive, providing an alternative to traditional academic training centers. The latter may provide more in-depth exposure to practice management, billing/coding, and ancillary services. The former typically offer a more structured, academically oriented environment with formal teaching conferences and a broader department hierarchy.

**Program size.** Some applicants may prefer a larger, more diverse array of teaching staff or fellows, while others gravitate toward fewer, more personal mentoring relationships that allow more intimate familiarity with practice habits or surgical techniques.

**Associated training programs.** Affiliations with a residency or physician-extender training program can offer benefits and drawbacks, including offloading clerical work, shared hands-on experience in the clinic and operating room, and midlevel supervisory responsibilities. This can offer useful opportunities to formulate an individual teaching style and valuable mentoring relationships. However, it can also impose greater time requirements or detract from one-on-one teaching with staff.

**Reputation.** Applicants may attach distinction to a well-established regional or national reputation associated with a given training program. Often, certain programs may carry prestige as a result of their academic name, hospital affiliation, or accomplishments. This can offer certain marketing advantages for patient recruitment. However, less renowned programs may provide better training opportunities and confer higher esteem among your professional colleagues. Program reputation can change dramatically with time, so this should be balanced with other potential strengths and overall training experience.

**Practice “niches”/areas of interest.** With increasing adoption of arthroscopic techniques among practicing surgeons and a relative excess of sports medicine–trained orthopedists, it is paramount to develop a novel skill set during fellowship to differentiate you from other graduates. I sought a sports medicine fellowship that would offer me a broad-based exposure to arthroscopic and open knee and shoulder reconstruction, chondral restoration techniques, hip arthroscopy and preservation, and shoulder arthroplasty. Opportunities in elbow reconstruction, foot and ankle arthroscopy, and pediatric sports medicine may also be valuable as a distinguishing factor in searching for jobs after training.

**Marketability.** Closely intertwined with reputation and scope of practice, an institution’s marketability is another intangible attribute to consider. Professional or collegiate team coverage offers significant market value for patient advertising, and it is frequently publicized by orthopedic practices and hospital systems. Additionally, the importance of ACGME accreditation should also be considered.

**Nonmedical training.** This is increasingly important in subsequent subspecialty training. Further education on the business aspects of orthopedic surgery should be emphasized. Additionally, dedicated curricula on professional or leadership development are important for career progression.

**Mentorship.** Throughout the interview process, one of my foremost priorities was a strong and enduring pattern of mentorship. Fellowship offers the opportunity to establish 1 or multiple mentors in your subspecialty. These individuals will be instrumental in the development of your early professional career and your approach to clinical practice. From discussions about complicated patients to advice on contract negotiations, your ideal mentor should champion your early successes and work generously on your behalf, even long after fellowship has ended.

**Research opportunities.** Given my academic career goals, I actively pursued a program with rich clinical and laboratory resources, and an established infrastructure for accomplishing high-quality, relevant research. Interested individuals should gauge the availability of research support staff, biomechanical or bench-level laboratory collaboration, grant or institutional research funding, cadaveric specimens, or clinical outcomes data for research conducted by fellows. However, not all fellowship applicants have a vested interest in research during fellowship, so I would encourage inquiries regarding core research requirements and expectations.
Clinical exposure. This encompasses several different and equally important variables, including diversity of clinical or surgical case-loads, case complexity, operative exposure, athletic team coverage, and office or clinical experience. Interestingly, this latter aspect of training is often neglected but cannot be over-emphasized. Outpatient clinical evaluation is key to honing important physical examination techniques and critically evaluating patients’ outcomes postoperatively.

Surgical autonomy. Hands-on operative experience and surgical autonomy vary widely among fellowship programs. Most fellowships advocate for a graduated level of surgical responsibility dependent on individual abilities and staff comfort, while others offer greater potential for independence. Conversely, some programs espouse more of an “observership” model, and arthroscopic simulators and/or cadaveric skills laboratories are designed to complement operative experience. While most fellowship applicants desire maximal case participation, we must also recognize the value in watching talented surgeons performing technically demanding procedures.

Family. You cannot put a premium on your personal contentment and family’s well-being. Proximity to a support network can be important with the work demands and time constraints of fellowship.

Despite financial obligations and significant time commitments, the fellowship match process offers an incredible range of programs and practice environments. Inevitably, no program can completely fulfill all your criteria, but you should be able to tailor your learning style, professional ambitions, and personal preferences with an excellent training program. For many, fellowship represents the last, and perhaps most integral, stage of formal surgical training. Considering all factors of your chosen fellowship program will ensure a rich and fulfilling educational experience.
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